

## Two ways to construct a Weyl semimetal

---

### Exercise 1 : Low-energy description of a Weyl semimetal

A Weyl Hamiltonian of positive chirality can be defined as:

$$H_+ = +v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad (1)$$

- (a) Write the most general form of a constant perturbation to  $H_+$ . Check that it is impossible to gap out such a Weyl Hamiltonian.

*Tip:* The only perturbations that can be added to  $H$  are proportional to the Pauli matrices or the identity.

**Solution:** We can write the most general perturbation as  $H_+ = \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + b_0 \sigma_0$ . These perturbations only shift the Weyl point in energy-momentum space. Thus, they can be absorbed in our definition of the origin in momenta and energy, and thus do not open up a gap.

- (b) Check that the Berry curvature takes the form of a monopole in momentum space. Give an argument why Berry monopoles must always come in pairs within the Brillouin zone.

*Tip:* You may use (or even better, prove!) that the Berry curvature for a two band system  $h_k = d_k \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \varepsilon_k \sigma_0$  is given by [1]

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{d}_k}{|\mathbf{d}_k|^3}, \quad (2)$$

which can be derived from

$$\Omega_k^i = \frac{\epsilon^{ijl}}{2} \hat{d}_k \cdot (\partial_{k_j} \hat{d}_k \times \partial_{k_l} \hat{d}_k). \quad (3)$$

which we derived in the second lecture.

**Solution:** Since the Hamiltonian is linear in momentum, we can write:

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \hat{e}_r, \quad (4)$$

which is a monopole centred at  $k = 0$ .

- (c) Double the Hamiltonian with a Weyl of the opposite chirality  $H_- = -v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  such that  $H = \tau_z \otimes v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  where  $\tau_z$  is a valley, or orbital degree of freedom. What happens to the spectrum when we add the off-diagonal term  $M \tau_x \otimes \sigma_0$ ?

**Solution:** The spectrum gaps out. We can see this by squaring the Hamiltonian:

$$H^2 = (\tau_z \otimes v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + M \tau_x \otimes \sigma_0)^2 \quad (5)$$

$$= (\tau_z \otimes v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})^2 + (M \tau_x \otimes \sigma_0)^2 \quad (6)$$

$$+ (M \tau_x \otimes \sigma_0)(\tau_z \otimes v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + (\tau_z \otimes v_f \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(M \tau_x \otimes \sigma_0) \quad (7)$$

$$= v_f^2 (\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2) \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \quad (8)$$

In going from the second to third equality we have used the anti-commutation of the Pauli matrices and the fact that they square to the identity. By taking the square root, the last equality implies that the spectrum is  $\epsilon_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2}$  which is a gapped Dirac spectrum.

- (d) Add a perturbation of the form  $\tau_0 \otimes \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + b_0 \tau_z \sigma_0$ . Plot the spectrum for different values of  $(\mathbf{b}^2 - b_0^2)/M^2$  and identify the different phases.

*Tip:* Solve the Hamiltonian numerically in Mathematica, or even better, argue perturbatively.

**Solution:** For  $M^2 \gg (\mathbf{b}^2 - b_0^2) = -b^2$  we have an insulator, since we can connect adiabatically to the limit where  $b_{\mu} = (b_0, \mathbf{b}) = 0$ . In general the bands are not degenerate since time-reversal symmetry and inversion are broken by  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $b_0$ , respectively. For  $M^2 \ll -b^2$  the Hamiltonian is connected to the case where  $M = 0$ . For this case the Hamiltonian is simply:

$$H = (\mathbf{k} - \tau_z \mathbf{b}) \tau_z \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma} + b_0 \tau_z \sigma_0 \quad (9)$$

which represents two Weyls separated in energy by  $2b_0$  and in momentum by  $2\mathbf{b}$ . For the general case, we have two Weyls separated by  $2b_{\mu} \sqrt{1 - M^2/b^2}$ , where  $b_{\mu} = (b_0, \mathbf{b})$  and  $b^2 = (-b_0^2 + \mathbf{b}^2)$ . When the argument of the square root becomes imaginary, the Weyls meet, and we transition to an insulator.

The eigenvalues are given by:

$$E^{s=\pm 1}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm \sqrt{(\mathbf{k} \times \hat{b})^2 + \left( |\mathbf{b}| + s \sqrt{m^2 + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{b})^2} \right)^2} \quad (10)$$

For more details, see section 1 in Ref. [2] and [3].

- (e) Show that  $\tau_0 \otimes \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  and  $b_0 \tau_z \sigma_0$  break time-reversal  $T = -i\sigma_y \mathcal{K}$  and inversion  $I = \tau_x$ , respectively. Show that it is only possible to have Weyl fermions if either, or both symmetries are broken.

**Solution:** The term proportional to  $\mathbf{b}$  can be interpreted as a Zeeman term, and thus breaks time-reversal. We can also do an explicit computation to check that  $TH_{-k}T^{-1} \neq H_{-k}$  with  $T = -i\sigma_y \mathcal{K}$ :

$$Th_k T^{-1} = -i\sigma_y (b^x \sigma_x + b^y \sigma_y + b^z \sigma_z)^* i\sigma_y \quad (11)$$

$$= -b^x \sigma_x - b^y \sigma_y - b^z \sigma_z \neq h_{-k} \quad (12)$$

The term proportional to  $b_0$  breaks inversion, as it does not satisfy the inversion symmetry condition. Focusing on that term we have  $Ih_k I^{-1} = Ib_0 \tau_z \sigma_0 I^{-1} = -b_0 \tau_z \sigma_0 \neq h_{-k}$  with  $I = \tau_x \otimes \sigma_0$ .

Time-reversal maps  $k \rightarrow -k$  without changing chirality. Inversion maps  $k \rightarrow -k$  but changes chirality. If time-reversal is broken, but you wish to respect inversion you can have two Weyl nodes at the same energy. If you want to respect time-reversal you need at least four Weyl nodes and to break inversion symmetry.

## Exercise 2 : Lattice model for a Weyl semimetal

Consider the three-dimensional model:

$$H_{\mathbf{k}} = t \sin(k_x)\sigma_x + t \sin(k_y)\sigma_y + (m - t \sum_i \cos(k_i))\sigma_z \quad (13)$$

- (a) Show that for  $m/t = 2$  the gap closes at two points, the Weyl cones, and give their position in momentum space.

**Solution:** The band dispersion is given by  $\epsilon_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{t^2 \sin(k_x)^2 + t^2 \sin(k_y)^2 + (2 - t \sum_i \cos(k_i))^2}$ . It vanishes when  $k_x = k_y = 0$  and  $k_z = \pm K_W = \pm \cos^{-1}(0) = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ , which sets the positions of the nodes.

- (b) Assuming that Pauli's matrices represent a spin degree of freedom, show that this Hamiltonian breaks time-reversal symmetry.

*Tip:* Time-reversal symmetry is given by  $T = -i\sigma_y\mathcal{K}$ .

**Solution:** The simplest way to see it is that this model is a Chern insulator model that we studied in the lectures with a mass that varies with  $k_z$ . In other words we can write:

$$H_{\mathbf{k}} = H_{CI}(k_x, k_y, M) - t \cos(k_z)\sigma_z = H_{CI}(k_x, k_y, M(k_z)). \quad (14)$$

Since the original Chern insulator model breaks time-reversal symmetry (has a finite Chern number),  $H$  has to break TRS too.

Alternatively we can see explicitly that the  $\sigma_z$  term is not invariant under the TRS operator  $T = -i\sigma_y\mathcal{K}$ . If  $T$  represents time-reversal symmetry then  $TH_{\mathbf{k}}T^{-1} = H_{\mathbf{k}}$ . For any two-band hamiltonian of the form  $h_{\mathbf{k}} = d_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_0$ , if time-reversal is a symmetry we impose that

$$Th_{\mathbf{k}}T^{-1} = -i\sigma_y(d_{\mathbf{k}}^x\sigma_x + d_{\mathbf{k}}^y\sigma_y + d_{\mathbf{k}}^z\sigma_z + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_0)^*i\sigma_y \quad (15)$$

$$= -d_{\mathbf{k}}^x\sigma_x - d_{\mathbf{k}}^y\sigma_y - d_{\mathbf{k}}^z\sigma_z + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_0 = d_{-\mathbf{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}}\sigma_0 \quad (16)$$

which implies that i)  $d_{\mathbf{k}}^{x,y,z}$  are odd functions of  $k$ , and ii)  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$  is an even function of  $k$ . Since condition i) is not satisfied for the  $z$  component, our Hamiltonian breaks time-reversal symmetry.

- (c) Fix  $m/t = 2$  and show that this model has a surface state, known as the Fermi arc, between the two Weyl nodes.

*Tip:* Check the Chern number as a function of  $k_z$ .

**Solution:** For a fixed  $k_z$  the Chern number of the Hamiltonian is  $C = 1$  between the nodes and  $C = 0$  otherwise. This implies that under open boundary conditions in the  $x$  or  $y$  directions, there is an edge state per  $k_z$ . These edge states form the arc.

To see this explicitly note that with these parameters the Weyl nodes sit at  $\pm\pi/2$ , i.e. there are maximally separated. Thus we can choose  $k_z = 0, \pi$  as points between and outside the nodes, and see how the Chern number changes as a function of  $k_z$ .

For  $H_{k_x, k_y, k_z=0}$ , the system is 2D, and realizes a Chern insulator with  $M/t = 1$  ( $M$  as defined in our second lecture), which falls in  $-2 < M/t < 0$  and has  $C = 1$ .

For  $H_{k_x, k_y, k_z=\pi}$  the model is a Chern insulator with  $M/t = 3$  which is in the  $M/t > 3$  phase with  $C = 0$ . Upon opening the boundary conditions in  $x$  or  $y$  there will be an edge state for all  $k_z$ 's in between the Weyl nodes. A constant energy cut will form an arc, hence the name Fermi arcs.

- (d) Calculate the Hall conductivity  $\sigma_{xy}$ , of this model as a function of the Weyl node separation  $\Delta K_W$ . What happens when the nodes touch at the Brillouin zone boundaries?

*Tip:* Use how the Chern number varies as a function of  $k_z$ .

**Solution:** The Hall conductivity is given by the integrated Chern number in  $k_z$ .

$$\sigma_{xy} = \int_0^{2\pi} \sigma_{xy}(k_z) \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} = \frac{\Delta K_W}{2\pi} C \frac{e^2}{h} \quad (17)$$

where  $\Delta K_W$  is the Weyl node separation. The last equality follows from the fact that the only contribution to a finite Hall conductivity comes from the region between the Weyl nodes.

## References

- [1] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, “Berry phase effects on electronic properties,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 82, pp. 1959 – 2007, 07 2010.
- [2] A. G. Grushin, “Common and not so common high-energy theory methods for condensed matter physics,” *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:1909.02983, Sept. 2019.
- [3] P. Goswami and S. Tewari, “Axionic field theory of (3 + 1)-dimensional weyl semimetals,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 88, p. 245107, Dec 2013.